Online Convex Optimization in the Bandit Setting: Gradient Descent Without a Gradient

Abraham D. Flaxman, CMU Math Adam Tauman Kalai, TTI-Chicago H. Brendan McMahan, CMU CS

May 25, 2006

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Online Analysis

Example: Multi-Armed Bandit General Setting: Online Convex Optimization

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Results

Bandit Gradient Descent: Algorithm Bandit Gradient Descent: Analysis

Example: Multi-Armed Bandit Problem

Pittsburgh plans casino with 1000 slot machines.



(ロ)、

One (of many) problems this raises:

Which of the 1000 machines should you play?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

One (of many) problems this raises:

- Which of the 1000 machines should you play?
- A traditional approach:
 - Assume that each machine *i* pays out independently with probability *p_i*

Develop strategy accordingly

One (of many) problems this raises:

- Which of the 1000 machines should you play?
- A traditional approach:
 - Assume that each machine *i* pays out independently with probability *p_i*
 - Develop strategy accordingly

Would be nice not to assume that the machines are so well behaved.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The CS-Theory approach to removing the assumption:

An adversary controls when the machines pay out

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

The CS-Theory approach to removing the assumption:

- An adversary controls when the machines pay out
- The adversary knows everything about your algorithm, except the results of random coin tosses

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The CS-Theory approach to removing the assumption:

- An adversary controls when the machines pay out
- The adversary knows everything about your algorithm, except the results of random coin tosses

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

How do you know if you are doing well?

 Compare with the best you could have done if you knew the future

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

 Compare with the best you could have done if you knew the future

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- competitive ratio := $z_{\text{offline}}/z_{\text{online}}$
- regret := $z_{\text{offline}} z_{\text{online}}$

 Compare with the best you could have done if you knew the future

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- competitive ratio := $z_{\text{offline}}/z_{\text{online}}$
- regret := $z_{\text{offline}} z_{\text{online}}$

What is *z*offline?

- Compare with the best you could have done if you knew the future
- competitive ratio := z_{offline}/z_{online}
- regret := $z_{\text{offline}} z_{\text{online}}$

What is *z*offline?

Be nice if it was best sequence of machines to play

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Compare with the best you could have done if you knew the future
- competitive ratio := z_{offline}/z_{online}
- ▶ regret := Z_{offline} Z_{online}

What is *z*offline?

- Be nice if it was best sequence of machines to play
- To have results, make it best single machine to play

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Many other problems also fit into this framework

Many other problems also fit into this framework

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

For example, learning from expert advice

- Many other problems also fit into this framework
- For example, learning from expert advice
- But for computational reasons, a more general setting can be convenient

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めへぐ

▶ Bounded convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, constant C > 0

- ▶ Bounded convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, constant C > 0
- At time t, simultaneously,
 - Adversary chooses convex function $c_t: S \rightarrow [-C, C]$

• We choose point $x_t \in S$

- ▶ Bounded convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, constant C > 0
- At time t, simultaneously,
 - Adversary chooses convex function $c_t: S \rightarrow [-C, C]$

- We choose point $x_t \in S$
- We pay adversary $c_t(x_t)$

- ▶ Bounded convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, constant C > 0
- At time t, simultaneously,
 - Adversary chooses convex function $c_t \colon S \to [-C, C]$

- We choose point $x_t \in S$
- We pay adversary $c_t(x_t)$

Goal: choose a sequence of x_t to make $\sum_t c_t(x_t)$ small

- ▶ Bounded convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, constant C > 0
- At time t, simultaneously,
 - Adversary chooses convex function $c_t \colon S \to [-C, C]$
 - We choose point $x_t \in S$
- We pay adversary $c_t(x_t)$

Goal: choose a sequence of x_t to make $\sum_t c_t(x_t)$ small

How we tell if we've done well: if regret is small

regret :=
$$\min_{x \in S} \left\{ \sum_{t} c_t(x) \right\} - \sum_{t} c_t(x_t)$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Theorem

There is a randomized algorithm which produces a sequence of x_t , so that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and each c_t takes values in [-C, C] then

$$\mathbb{E}[regret] = \mathbb{E}\left[\min_{x \in S} \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{n} c_t(x)\right\} - \sum_{t=1}^{n} c_t(x_t)\right] \le 6Cdn^{5/6}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Algorithm is a form of gradient descent



Main trick: a random variable approximating the gradient and formed by a single evaluation of the function

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

The flavor of the analysis is this:

The flavor of the analysis is this:

$$||x_t - x_*||^2 / 2\eta = ||\mathbf{P}(x_t - \eta \cdot g_t) - x_*||^2 = \dots$$

The flavor of the analysis is this:

$$||x_t - x_\star||^2 / 2\eta = ||\mathbf{P}(x_t - \eta \cdot g_t) - x_\star||^2 = \dots$$

$$c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \leq \frac{\|x_t - x_\star\|^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta G^2}{2}.$$

The flavor of the analysis is this:

$$||x_t - x_\star||^2 / 2\eta = ||\mathbf{P}(x_t - \eta \cdot g_t) - x_\star||^2 = \dots$$

$$c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \leq \frac{\|x_t - x_\star\|^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta G^2}{2}.$$

regret =
$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \le \frac{\|x_1 - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + n\frac{\eta G^2}{2}$$
.

The flavor of the analysis is this:

$$||x_t - x_{\star}||^2 / 2\eta = ||\mathbf{P}(x_t - \eta \cdot g_t) - x_{\star}||^2 = \dots$$

$$c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \leq \frac{\|x_t - x_\star\|^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta G^2}{2}.$$

regret =
$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \le \frac{\|x_1 - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + n\frac{\eta G^2}{2}$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Take $\eta = 1/\sqrt{n}$.

The flavor of the analysis is this:

$$||x_t - x_{\star}||^2 / 2\eta = ||\mathbf{P}(x_t - \eta \cdot g_t) - x_{\star}||^2 = \dots$$

$$c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \leq \frac{\|x_t - x_\star\|^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta G^2}{2}.$$

regret =
$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} c_t(x_t) - c_t(x_\star) \le \frac{\|x_1 - x_\star\|^2}{2\eta} + n\frac{\eta G^2}{2}$$
.

Take $\eta = 1/\sqrt{n}$.

Full Details:

 A. Flaxman, A. Kalai, H. McMahon, Online convex optimization in the bandit setting: gradient descent without a gradient, Symposium of Discrete Algorithms (SODA), 2005.

Conclusion

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Analysis in an adversarial setting

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- Analysis in an adversarial setting
- Exists algorithm with have regret ≤ 6Cdn^{5/6}

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- Analysis in an adversarial setting
- Exists algorithm with have regret ≤ 6Cdn^{5/6}
- Streaming Algorithms?

- Online convex optimization in the bandit setting
 - Analysis in an adversarial setting
- Exists algorithm with have regret ≤ 6Cdn^{5/6}
- Streaming Algorithms?
 - ϵ -approximate solution in ϵ^6 passes over the data

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)